



# **Alerts**

# **Second Circuit Allows Undisclosed Ghostwriting**

December 21, 2011

Lawyers for the Profession® Alert

In re Liu, \_\_\_\_ F.3d \_\_\_\_, 2011 WL 5839658 (2d Cir. 2011) (per curiam)

#### **Brief Summary**

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit held that absent a local rule stating otherwise, a lawyer may ghostwrite a brief without disclosing his or her role in such drafting to the court.

## **Complete Summary**

The Second Circuit's Committee on Attorney Admissions and Grievances (Committee) recommended that an attorney be reprimanded for "conduct unbecoming a member of the bar" based on a number of underlying offenses. The court adopted that recommendation based on all but one of the offenses, namely, the attorney's undisclosed drafting of a brief for a *pro se* litigant.

The Second Circuit held that undisclosed ghostwriting did not constitute misconduct. The Committee had found that the attorney violated her duty of candor to the court (i.e., DR 11-102(A)(4)). The Second Circuit disagreed, noting that there was no express rule requiring disclosure of ghostwriting activity. Without such a rule, the court was not willing to conclude that the attorney knew or should have known of a duty of disclosure or of the potential for misleading the court.

Although the court acknowledged prior holdings to the contrary, it cited a trend among ethics committees and courts—especially at the state level—toward allowing undisclosed ghostwriting.

In response to the oft-cited argument that undisclosed ghostwriting gives *pro se* litigants an advantage because courts grant leniency to such litigants, the Second Circuit agreed with American Bar Association (ABA) Formal Opinion 07-446, in which the ABA Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility opined that a lawyer's involvement will generally be evident to the tribunal. That fact also weighed against finding that the nondisclosure was dishonest.

#### Significance of Opinion

This opinion continues the trend toward allowing undisclosed ghostwriting and may be a significant marker for the federal courts going forward. In its opinion,

## **Service Areas**

Counselors for the Profession

Lawyers for the Profession®



the court did not purport to decide how it would consider a case in which a party's lawyer ghostwrites an *amicus* brief without disclosure to the court, particularly if the *amicus* application or statement of interest states or suggests a degree of independence that may not exist in fact.

This alert has been prepared by Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP to provide information on recent legal developments of interest to our readers. It is not intended to provide legal advice for a specific situation or to create an attorney-client relationship.