



Alerts

ABA Provides Guidance for Permissible Changes to Attorney Fee Agreements During Representation

August 31, 2011

Lawyers for the Profession® Alert

American Bar Association Formal Opinion 11-458: Changing Fee Arrangements During Representation (Aug. 4, 2011)

Brief Summary

The American Bar Association (ABA) issued a formal opinion that clarifies the circumstances under which an attorney may modify a fee arrangement during the course of representation such that the modification is most likely to be deemed enforceable and ethically permissible.

Complete Summary

The American Bar Association Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility issued Formal Opinion 11-458, addressing when a change in an attorney fee arrangement is permissible under the ABA's Model Rules of Professional Conduct. The formal opinion provides ethics guidance applying model rules that are generally mirrored in individual jurisdictions and commentary concerning the enforceability of modifications applying both legal ethics and common law contract principles.

The formal opinion notes that the lawyer as fiduciary bears a special burden to justify any change in an agreement midstream in the representation of a client. The change must be fair and reasonable to the client in light of changed circumstances, pursuant to ABA Model Rule 1.5(a) (prohibiting "unreasonable" fees), which is an objective standard based on the facts at the time of the modification. Unlike certain other types of contracts, the modification may not require additional consideration. The change also must be communicated and explained to the client, pursuant to ABA Model Rule 1.5(b) (lawyer shall communicate basis or rate of fees) and ABA Model Rule 1.4(b) (lawyer must explain matter such that client can make an informed decision regarding representation).

An initial fee agreement or other communication to the client at the outset of the representation may expressly anticipate future incremental increases in hourly billing rates without requiring negotiation of each increase separately, so long as any change is timely and clearly communicated to the client, which in certain jurisdictions may require prior notification of any increase for it to be valid. A lawyer and client also may agree to change an hourly fee agreement to a contingent fee agreement, or vice-versa, provided that any requirements for a

Service Areas

Counselors for the Profession

Lawyers for the Profession®



contingent fee agreement are met, including a writing signed by the client pursuant to ABA Model Rule 1.5(c). Similarly, an attorney may take new or additional security for a fee, provided that the disclosure and consent requirements of ABA Model Rule 1.8(a) (doing business with client) are met.

One important caveat noted in the formal opinion is that the lawyer's explanation of a proposed modification "including the advice that the client need not agree to pay the modified fee to have the lawyer continue the representation, is necessary to enable the client to make an informed decision[.]" Another caveat is that the client must accept the modification, which acceptance may be inferred in certain circumstances.

Significance of Opinion

Although Formal Opinion 11-458 does not purport to break significant new ground, it does provide clear, useful guidance to lawyers who seek to modify fee arrangements with a client during the course of the representation without crossing ethical boundaries and with the highest likelihood that the modification will be enforceable. If a lawyer anticipates at the outset that a matter will continue for a period of years or that circumstances may change materially along the way, the lawyer would be well advised to anticipate the potential for a change in hourly rates or other fee arrangements, to the extent possible, with language in the original fee agreement and to document any subsequent changes appropriately.

For more information, please contact your regular Hinshaw attorney.

This alert has been prepared by Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP to provide information on recent legal developments of interest to our readers. It is not intended to provide legal advice for a specific situation or to create an attorney-client relationship.