



News

David Levitt Discusses SCOTUS Ruling that Disparaging Trademarks are Protected Speech

October 19, 2017

David Levitt, a Hinshaw partner and trial lawyer, discusses in the Fall 2017 edition of DRI's In-House Defense Quarterly the U.S. Supreme Court Decision in *Matal v. Tam*, that considered whether prohibiting "disparaging" trademarks was constitutional. In a ruling published earlier this summer, the Court determined that such trademarks were protected speech under the First Amendment. The case received considerable media attention, due to efforts to cancel the registration of the Washington Redskins under the "disparagement" definition contained in §1052(a) of the Lanham Act.

[Read the full article \(PDF\)](#)

Attorneys

David H. Levitt

Service Areas

Intellectual Property

Trademark

Offices

Chicago