

## → Ryan C. Brunner

### Associate

2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 100  
Washington, DC 20006-6801

T: +1.202.747.3305

[rbrunner@sheppardmullin.com](mailto:rbrunner@sheppardmullin.com)

Ryan Brunner is an associate in the Intellectual Property Practice Group in the firm's Washington, D.C. office.

### Areas of Practice

Ryan represents clients in high-stakes intellectual property litigation and contract disputes in federal district courts, the Federal Circuit, the ITC, and international arbitration. In addition to litigation matters, Ryan advises clients on issues including trade secret protections, standard-essential patents, and licensing. His experience covers a range of technical fields, including semiconductor manufacturing, consumer electronics, medical devices, pharmaceuticals, cloud services, video coding, and telecommunications.

Ryan also maintains a pro bono practice spanning intellectual property, wrongful conviction, civil rights, defamation, immigration, domestic violence, and landlord-tenant matters. In one case, Ryan was part of a small team that obtained a gubernatorial pardon for a client who spent 32 years wrongfully incarcerated.

### Experience

- Representing the world's leading consumer electronics company in two patent suits in the Eastern District of Texas involving video coding, wireless communications, and content distribution.
- Representing a multinational consumer electronics company in a seven-patent suit in the Eastern District of Texas concerning wearable devices.
- Advising a multinational conglomerate in several standard-essential patent licensing negotiations involving cellular, Wi-Fi, and video coding technologies.
- Represented a multinational consumer electronics company in a five-patent suit in the Eastern District of Texas concerning mobile devices and user authentication.
- Represented a large multinational consumer electronics company in worldwide litigation, including the District of Delaware and the ITC, involving H.264 and H.265 video codec technology and FRAND issues, as well as § 1782 petitions for discovery for use in foreign proceedings.
- Represented a leading cybersecurity company in a patent suit in the Northern District of California relating to network monitoring and analysis.
- Represented a leading cybersecurity company in multiple related Federal Circuit appeals from *inter partes* review proceedings.
- Represented the world's leading consumer electronics company in two litigations in the Northern District of California and the Southern District of California involving 5G telecommunications technology and related

FRAND issues.

- Represented a multinational consumer electronics company in a patent suit in the Western District of Texas concerning wearable devices.
- Represented a multinational consumer electronics company in a patent suit in the Western District of Texas relating to memory chip architecture and variable charge circuits.
- Represented a cloud storage provider in a patent suit in the District of Delaware relating to structure of storage area networks.
- Represented a cloud storage provider named as a respondent in a Section 337 complaint before the ITC concerning patent infringement claims.
- Represented a multinational consumer electronics company in a twelve-patent suit in the Eastern District of Texas concerning LCD display technology.
- Represented a vaccine manufacturer in commercial arbitration before the International Chamber of Commerce concerning alleged breach of COVID-19 vaccine advance purchase agreement.
- Represented a pharmaceutical company in a competitor litigation in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania involving Lanham Act claims of false advertising and state law unfair competition claims.
- Represented a multinational pharmaceutical company in a series of arbitrations before the American Arbitration Association concerning disputes arising under a drug patent license agreement.
- Represented a global semiconductor wafer manufacturer and its employees in an international arbitration before the London Court of International Arbitration, a series of federal lawsuits in the Southern District of New York and the Second Circuit, and a PTAB derivation proceeding, all involving allegations of trade secret misappropriation and breach of contract in connection with a patent.
- Represented a multinational consumer electronics company in a series of appeals and cross-appeals to the Federal Circuit from decisions of the Eastern District of Texas and PTAB relating to patents directed to image sensors and digital cameras, in which the Federal Circuit vacated a multi-million-dollar judgment against the client.
- Represented a medical technology company in the Northern District of California in an action to redress trade secret misappropriation and related torts in the field of flow cytometry.
- Represented a multinational pharmaceutical company in a Lanham Act dispute against a competitor in the District of New Jersey, regarding the competitor's misleading advertising about insurance coverage for the parties' respective prescription drug products.
- Represented a multinational engineering company in a trade secret dispute in the Northern District of California involving the design and manufacture of a data center.
- Represented an audio technology company named as a downstream respondent in a Section 337 complaint before the ITC concerning trade secret misappropriation issues.
- Represented a cybersecurity technology company in a Federal Circuit appeal involving patents relating to out-of-band authentication techniques and systems.

## Articles

- Recent Developments in 5G SEP Litigation Reveal Lessons for Future US Litigants  
*Intellectual Asset Management (IAM)*, 09.12.2024

- High-Profile Investigations at the ITC Indicate its Growing Popularity as a Forum for Obtaining Injunctive Relief  
*Intellectual Asset Management and Global Competition Review*, 04.19.2024
- Beware Arbitration Clauses That May Bar Inter Partes Review  
*Law360*, 06.24.2022
- Exploring Interplay Between PTAB and Claims Court: Part 1, Part 2 & Part 3  
*Law360*, February 5-7, 2018
- Websites as Facilities Under ADA Title III  
*15 Duke L. & Tech. Rev. 171*, 2017

## Practices

Appellate

False Advertising, Lanham Act and Unfair Competition

Intellectual Property

International Arbitration

International Trade Commission (ITC)

IP Licensing, Technology and Commercial Transactions

Litigation

Patent Litigation

Trade Secrets

## Industries

Life Sciences

Semiconductors

Telecom

## Education

J.D., Duke University School of Law, 2017, *magna cum laude*; *Executive Editor, Duke Law Journal*; *Senior Research Editor, Duke Law & Technology Review*

B.A., University of Iowa, 2014, *magna cum laude*

## Admissions

District of Columbia

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia

U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas  
U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas