

Post-Grant Proceedings

Stinson attorneys are well versed in post-grant proceedings at the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, representing both petitioners challenging the validity of patents and patent owners protecting their patent rights. Our approach is individually tailored to maximize our client's business goals, whether in an *inter partes* review (IPR), post-grant review (PGR), or covered business method patents (CBM) proceeding. We have the relevant experience in post-grant proceedings covering subject matter ranging from chemistry and biotechnology to medical devices to computing and computer security to mechanical arts.

With a deep bench of more than 20 attorneys and patent agents admitted to practice before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Stinson has both the experience and broad range of technical backgrounds needed to help clients with all their post-grant needs.

CAPABILITIES

- Currently working on three pending IPRs that were instituted for our food service equipment client and defending related Federal Court litigation and successful Federal Circuit appeal reversal of district court preliminary injunction.
- Currently working on a pending IPR that was instituted regarding LED replacement lamps.
- Currently representing a client in responding to a petition for IPR challenging the validity of a patent on structural building components.
- Reached favorable settlement on behalf of our client in patent infringement action related to copper plating of integrated circuit boards after achieving denial of institution on four IPR petitions.
- Successfully defended the validity of a metallurgical processing patent in an IPR.
- Successfully amended claims in a PGR to overcome an obviousness challenge for structural building components.
- Drafted and filed IPR resulting in favorable settlement and license offer for our client, a large diagnostics company.
- Served as co-counsel on IPR proceedings and lead counsel on federal court litigation on behalf of a boat manufacturer in a patent infringement matter involving their largest competitor.
- Reached favorable settlement on behalf of our client in patent infringement action related to chemically modified food starch after bringing IPR petition and obtaining PTAB ruling that there was a reasonable

Post-Grant Proceedings

likelihood that all challenged claims would be shown invalid.

- Successfully defended IPR against patent for a keypad input device used with an electronic voting machine, resulting in a final written decision upholding important claims of the original patent and numerous amended claims.
- Obtained denial of institution in IPR proceeding for medical device patent owner.
- Drafted and filed IPR resulting in invalidation of several patent claims on behalf of a start-up carbon capture company.

CONTACT: B. Scott Eidson | 314.259.4575 | scott.eidson@stinson.com

TEAM

David D. Axtell

David R. Barnard

Jeannie M. Boettler

Jason H. Conway

James J. Cronin

B. Scott Eidson

William R. Everding

Janet S. Hendrickson, Ph.D.

Kurt F. James

Morgan L. Johnson

Steven T. Kazmierski, Ph.D.

Vincent M. Keil

David S. Kim

Timothy D. Krieger

Steven N. Levitt

Jonathan G. Pollmann

John R. Schroeder

Colin W. Turner

STINSON

STINSON LLP \ STINSON.COM

Post-Grant Proceedings

RELATED CAPABILITIES

Biotechnology

Chemical & Pharmaceutical

Electrical & Electronics

Intellectual Property & Technology

Mechanical

Patent Litigation

Patent Preparation & Prosecution

Software & Computer Systems

NEWS

St. Louis Small Business Monthly Selects David S. Kim to Top 100 List

04.03.2024

Stinson's IP Attorneys Examine USPTO Guidance on AI, Patentability and Inventorship in *IPWatchdog* Article

02.23.2024

Stinson's IP&T Team Produces Another Outstanding Year for Trademark and Patent Prosecution and Defense

02.13.2024

World Trademark Review Recognizes Stinson's IP Practice, Three Attorneys in WTR 1000 Rankings

02.12.2024

Chavez, Barnard Examine Social Media's Legal Risk for Companies, Third Parties in *Bloomberg Law*

08.04.2023

ACC STL July Newsletter Features Schroeder's Considerations for Companies to Protect Trade Secrets

07.21.2023

Morgan Johnson Voted Chair of BAMSL Patent, Trademark & Copyright Section

04.05.2023

Stinson Attorneys, Minneapolis Office Earn Recognition in *World Trademark Review's* WTR 1000 Rankings

03.09.2023

STINSON

STINSON LLP \ STINSON.COM

Post-Grant Proceedings

Schroeder Details How the FTC Ban on Non-Competes Impact Trade Secret Protection in *IPWatchdog* Column

02.17.2023

Stinson's 2022 IP&T Year-in-Review Includes Strategic Creativity, Continued Innovation

02.10.2023

Eleven Attorneys Elected to Partnership at Stinson in 2023

01.05.2023

Christina Arnone, Bill Everding and Sarah Lintecum Struby Recognized as Up & Coming Attorneys by *Missouri Lawyers Weekly*

08.22.2022

Stinson Ranked Second Largest Patent Law Firm in St. Louis Fourth Year in a Row

05.10.2022

World Trademark Review Recognizes Stinson Attorneys, Minneapolis Office in *WTR 1000* Rankings

03.14.2022

Stinson's IP&T Team Supports Innovation: 2021 Year In Review

02.11.2022

PUBLICATIONS

USPTO Provides Interim Guidance on PTAB Discretionary Denials Under *Fintiv*

06.23.2022

STINSON

STINSON LLP \ STINSON.COM