

With No Informed Consent Claim, Are Risks and Complications of a Surgical Procedure Admissible?

By: Andrew Susko and Jason Poore Healthcare Alert 11.22.17

The Pennsylvania Supreme Court granted Allocatur to hear another case involving the defendant doctor's admission of evidence regarding the risks and complications of a surgical procedure where lack of a patient's informed consent is not claimed by the plaintiff.

In *Mitchell v Shikora*, the Superior Court stated that, while evidence of the risks and complications of a surgical procedure may be admissible to establish the relevant standard of care, (see *Brady v Urbas*), a jury cannot consider such evidence offered to establish the absence of negligence, i.e., evidence that even with the doctor's conformity to the required standard of care, an adverse outcome can occur.

The Pennsylvania Supreme Court's review is expressly limited to a single issue of whether the Superior Court's holding conflicts with the holding in *Brady*, which permits evidence of general risks and complications in a medical negligence claim. (Petition for Allowance of Appeal Granted by Order dated November 20, 2017)

This correspondence should not be construed as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general informational purposes only and you are urged to consult a lawyer concerning your own situation and legal questions.